

Lamberg, A. (2003, February 18). "We should open the debate for a new, democratic U.S. foreign policy."
In "Letters to the editor: War, peace and the lessons of history," *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, B6.

===

Let's assume we go ahead with plans for war in Iraq. Aside from the fallacy of Bush's unilateral doctrine and lack of international support - and despite the possible economic benefits our nation could reap - what would be the outcome for Iraq, its citizens and the rest of Mideast region?

Consider how blood is thicker than ink. A Muslim tends to embrace fellow Muslims despite lines that divide nations, and they will rush to the aid of their brethren who are under attack. If we spill Iraqi blood, especially acting alone, then ordinary Muslim citizens of other Mideast nations will perceive our nation as a murderer. What do you think they'll do next?

We could take out the Iraqi regime, but what are we going to do when radical Islamic fundamentalists, who are bent on the destruction of America, overthrow governments of nations in the Mideast, including Pakistan with its nuclear arsenal?

As anti-American sentiment rises in the world, I feel increasingly unsafe, and I worry about my friends and family being the victims of a vicious cycle of violence.
We should open the debate for a new, democratic U.S. foreign policy.

Alan Lamberg
St. Louis City
===